Shared Decision Making: Promoting Best Practice

Section Editor: Glenna Traiger, RN, MSN



Arlene G. Schiro, MA, CS, NP Clinical Manager Pulmonary Vascular

Disease Program Brigham and Women's Hospital Boston, MA

With the welcomed increase in treatment options that we now have in the field of pulmonary hypertension (PH), it is important that our commitment to patients includes going beyond patient education and a presentation of benefits and risks to make sure we reach consensus on the choice of treatment. As health professionals we are increasingly encouraged to involve patients in their treatment decisions, recognizing them as experts with unique knowledge of their own preferences based on their values and willingness to comply.¹ In fact, noncompliance is less likely if both parties decide together which treatment is best and move forward with it. However, finding ways to elicit patients' preferences can be a considerable challenge. Physician bias or time constraints may influence how and to what degree these preferences are elicited, and many physicians wish to retain the imbalance of power between themselves and their patients, thus causing patients to be reluctant to share their preferences if they regard their doctor as more powerful.² Now that we have multiple treatment options, what are the best ways to promote shared decision making?

Since the president's commission first coined the term "shared decision making" over 30 years ago, the concept has become an ethical ideal.³ This concept goes beyond simply informing the patient of the risks and benefits of therapy options and then making recommendations, but rather goes further by assisting the patient in becoming dynamically involved in the

decision process and, ultimately, the outcome.⁴ One common example of such a treatment decision would be whether the patient should initiate intravenous or subcutaneous prostacyclin for functional class III pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH). How do we facilitate a final decision that would satisfy both the clinicians and patient? What would happen if the patient prefers to start with the nebulized form of prostacyclin despite progressive symptoms rather than an intravenous formulation recommended by the physician and/or team? These can be daunting decisions encountered repeatedly in practice. In such situations, the stresses of the patient's clinical status as well as information overload may influence the ability of that patient to take an active role in choosing the best long-term therapy option. Compliance may also become an issue if he/she did not take an active role in the treatment decision. Another scenario would be a conflict between what the patient wants and what a family member expects. A clue to this issue, termed "decisional dilemma," may be when the patient asks caregivers, "what would you do?" This dilemma can be even more concerning while obtaining informed consent for participation in a clinical drug trial.

How a physician makes a decision and how a patient and his/her family decide on a treatment can be accomplished through different approaches.⁵ Clinicians rely on utility theory or statistics to analyze best outcomes. The best example of this is the current evidence-based treatment algorithms derived from 15 years of clinical trials.⁶ These are also based on a consensus within the PH medical community and identified as core principles within our practice. On the other hand, patients and family members are more likely to use the information-processing theory, focusing on cognitive and affective variables integrating their values and preferences. One way in which we as team members can bridge this gap of utility theory vs information processing is by using a patient decision aid. Decision aids or tools help the patient become an informed participant and assist in reaching decisions in line with the patient's values, preferences, and life goals while taking into consideration the information provided by the physician.⁷ Over the last decade empirical research examining the importance of "shared decision making" has fueled increased interest in shifting it from ethical ideal to actual practice by a growing movement of developing and standardizing decision aids.⁸

The Ottawa Personal Decision Guide (OPDG), devised by O'Connor, Stacey, and Jacobson at the Ottawa Hospital Research Institute, is useful in assisting the decision-making process for patients with PH who are deciding on options for lifelong therapies. The OPDG (Figure 1) helps the patient delineate options and asks him/her to place personal weights on benefits and risks. In addition, the OPDG further assists the patient in clarifying personal values and support systems. This tool should be used as a complement, rather than a replacement, for counseling and discussions with the medical team. It can be an excellent option for patients who need assistance identifying the best treatment option. Since it is interactive and available in 4 languages, it is easy to use and applicable to a varied group. The patient can use this tool to focus on knowledge (needed facts and possible questions), values (what matters most), and supports (financial, opinions, pressures). Once completed, it can then be printed and brought to the clinic as a catalyst for an open discussion with the PH team.

Information alone, however, is not always sufficient for patients in making such an important decision. Providing information to the patient should only be a prerequisite for assistance in making the best choice for lifelong treatment. All parties must contribute to the treatment decision for the process to be shared. The use of a decisional aid such as the OPDG is just one way in which we can facilitate information and preference sharing lead-

Correspondence: a schiro@partners.org

?			Ottawa Person	al Decision G			
	Decision:	How far along are not thought abo	you face? d to make a choice? you with making a choi out options thinking ward one option?		Date: close to making a	choice	already made a choice ich one?
No.	Certainty:	Do you feel sure a	Do you feel sure about the best choice for you?				
Ba	Knowledge		ch options are available the benefits and risks o		No No	Yes Yes	
B. Und	lerline the bene	e below, list the option fits and risks that you	ut which benefits and ris ns and main benefits and ri think are most likely to haj enefit / risk matters to you:	isks that you alread	dy know.	Yes	at all.'
			Benefits to choose this option)	How much it matters (★)	Ris (reasons to avo	ks	How much it
Option 1							
Option 2							
Option 3							
opuon o							
	Support:		e? 🔲 I pr	efer that someor	yself after hearing ne else decides.		Yes Yes
Who else is involved? (name) Which option does this person prefer? Is this person pressuring you? How can this person support you?			No Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Knowledg List yc (e.g. lit Find o Values (If Revie Find p the be Talk to Read	the (If you feel bur questions where to find orary, health pr ut about the - you are not s w stars in the rs to you. seople who kr mentis and ris o others who stories of whi- ss with others ns	you do not have er answers. ofessionals, counsell chances of benefits ure what matters m balance scale to so now what it's like to	(ff and risks. ost to you): experience ision. others.	pport rou feel you do r Discuss your o (e.g. health profe Find out what h (e.g. funds, trans you feel pressure Focus on opini Share your gui Ask others to c facts disagree what matters r	tot have enough s ptions with a trust ssional, counsellor, lelp is on hand to port, child care) from others): ons of others who de with others. omplete this guid agree to get more ost, respect the others.	ed person. family, friend support you matter mos e. Find areas information. V ner's opinion. s said that m	s) r choice. t. of agreement. When Vhen you disagree on Take turns to listen and taters most to them.
Version F	ebruary 2007				© O'0	Connor, Stace	ey, Jacobsen 2004.

ing to a focused discussion. The imaginative use of available decision aids together with our evidence-based treatment algorithms and risk assessments generated from clinical trials and registries keep us in the mainstream of health care. We must realize that shared decision making is not an illusion but rather another way that we can work collaboratively with our patients to achieve the best outcomes. As members of the PH Resource Network, we must work together with our physician colleagues to find timely and cost-effective ways to meet this challenge.

Figure 1: Ottawa Patient Decision Tool. Reprinted with permission from the Ottawa Hospital Research Institute Clinical Epidemiology Program Patient Decision Aid Research Group.

References

1. Hanson JL. Shared decision making: have we missed the obvious? *Arch Intern Med.* 2008; 168(13):1368-1370.

2. Say RE, Thomson R. The importance of patient preferences in treatment decisions-challenges for doctors. *BMJ*. 2003;327(7414):542-545.

3. United States. President's Commission for the Study of Ethical Problems in Medicine and Biomedical and Behavioral Research. *Making Health Care* Decisions: A Report on the Ethical and Legal Implications of Informed Consent in the Patient-Practitioner Relationship. Volume One: Report. October 1982.

4. Breitsameter C. Medical decision-making and communication of risks: an ethical perspective. *J. Med Ethics.* 2010;36(6):349-352.

5. Pierce PF, Hicks FD. Patient decision-making behavior: an emerging paradigm for nursing science. *Nurs Res.* 2001;50(5):267-274.

6. Barst RJ, Gibbs JS, Ghofrani HA, et al. Updated evidence-based treatment algorithm in pulmonary arterial hypertension. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2009;54(1 Suppl):S78-S84.

7. Wittmann-Price RA, Fisher KM. Patient decision aids: tools for patients and professionals. *Am J Nurs.* 2009;109(12):60-63.

8. Braddock CH 3rd. The emerging importance and relevance of shared decision making to clinical practice. *Med Decis Making*. 2010;30(5 Suppl):5S-7S.